607

Case No. 227-195

Record Book 463

Page 395

STATE OF WISCONSIN : CIRCUIT COURT :

MILWAUKEE COUNTY

ELVA TURNER,

Plaintiff,

FILED

-VS-

NO. 227-195 O DEC 28 1950 O

JUDGMENT OF ANNUIMENT

Judgment Of Annuiment

ROBERT H. TURNER,

Defendant

This action having been brought on for trial on the 6th day of November, 1950, at the regular October Term, 1950, of this Court before the Honorable August E. Braun. Judge of said Court, and the Court having filed its findings of fact and conclusions of law from which it satisfactorily appears and wherein the Court finds that the plaintiff is entitled to a judgment of annulment of the purported marriage of the parties,

Now, on motion of Elizabeth C. Leis, plaintiff's attorney, IT IS ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

That the purported marriage of the plaintiff Elva Turner and the defendant Robert H. Turner, entered into at Dubuque, Iowa, on the 19th day of April, 1950, is null and void and that said marriage is hereby annuled.

That the care and custody of the minor child of said parties, Linda kindermann, born as the result of the said purported marriage of the parties at Milwaukee, Wisconsin, on January 8, 1949, and whose birth was erroneously registered as \_\_\_\_\_Lindemann, the said name "Lindemann" being the maiden name of the plaintiff, is awarded to the plaintiff.

Dated at Milwaukee, Wisconsin Dec. 28th, 1950.

BY THE COURT,

FRED J. JAEGER

Approved December 18, 1950.

# The State of Wisconsin

CIRCUIT COURT, Milwaukee County.

Findings O. K.

Judgment Fee Paid 1/-6-50

Statistical Report Filed 1/-6-50

FILED
Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law. O DEC 28 1950 O
The Free The gen

At a regular term of said court begun and held at the Court House in the City of Milwaukee, in said County, on the 2nd day of October , 19.50 , and on the 6th day of November , 19.50 , during said term. Present the Honorable August E. Braun Circuit Judge, presiding.

And it appearing that this is an action for divorce and that the summons and complaint in this action could not be personally served on the defendant, for the reason that said defendant is not a resident of the State of Wisconsin, as more fully appears by the return of the sheriff for said Milwaukee County, attached to the original summons on file herein;

And it further appearing that said original summons and duly verified complaint herein were filed in the office of the Clerk of said Court on the 25th day of May 1950, and that thereafter, to-wit: on the 6 day of free kneeder, 1950, the attorney plaintiffs, hade and filed an affidavit stating, among other things that personal service could not be obtained upon the defendant within the State of Wisconsin, although due diligence has been exercised so to do, and that defendant's last known Post Office address is as follows, to-wit:..... 2422 W. Keefe avenue, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, but that the defendant has since left the State of Wisconsin and that his whereabouts were unknown at the time of the commencement of this action, for which reason mailing of a copy of the summons and complaint were omitted, pursuant to the statute in such case made and provided;

And it further appearing by the affidavit of ,-19....., duly deposited in the Post Office addressed to said defendant aid last known address; and it further appearing that said summons was duly published in the Daily Reporter a newspaper likely to give notice to the defendant, once a week for three weeks and that the day of first publication was on the 24-, 1950, and that the day of the last publication was on the g day of , 19 50, as appears by the affidavit of h. h. Stale on file herein.

fendant personally without the state on the	And it further appearing that a copy of the summons and complaint were delivered to	the de
And it further appearing that on the 13 day of 19.22, and within ten (10) days after service on the defendant, a copy of said complaint were duly served on the Honorable Jeremish J. Kelly the Divorce Counsel for said Milwaukee County, as appears by the admission of such service endorsed upon the original summons and complaint on file herein;  And it further appearing that twenty days have elapsed since the date of the service of the summons and complaint upon said defendant and that said defendant has failed to answer or demur to said complaint, and that said defendant has in no manner appeared herein, and is now in default, as more fully appears by the affidavit of Elizabeth C. Leis attorney, and the plaintiff appearing in person and by Elizabeth Q. Leis attorney, and the State of Wisconsin appearing by Joseph M. Syman , Esq., Assistant Divorce Counsel for said Milwaukee County, and the defendant failing to appear either in person or by attorney, and after hearing the testimony and proofs submitted, and the said Joseph M. Syman , Esq., Assistant Divorce Counsel, having appeared in open court on behalf of the public, made a fair and impartial presentation to the count and fully advised the court as to the merits of the case and the rights and interests of the parties and of the public, and being fully advised in the premises; and the judge having informed the parties hersts appearing in court that the judgment to be entered herein so far as it affects the status of the parties, will not become effective until one year from the date of the granting thereof, I. August E. Braun , the judge before whom this action was tried, do make these findings of fact and conclusions of law, as follows, to-wit:	fendant personally without the state on the day of , 19 , as a	ppears
within ten (10) days after service on the defendant, a copy of said complaint were duly served on the Honorable	more fully by the affidavit ofon file	herein.
within ten (10) days after service on the defendant, a copy of said complaint were duly served on the Honorable	And it further appearing that on the 13 day of Chemel 195	D and
the Honorable. Jeremiah J. Kelly , the Divorce Counsel for said Milwaukee County, as appears by the admission of such service endorsed upon the original summons and complaint on file herein;  And it further appearing that twenty days have elapsed since the date of the service of the summons and complaint upon said defendant and that said defendant has failed to answer or demur to said complaint, and that said defendant has in no manner appeared herein, and is now in default, as more fully appears by the affidavit of Elizabeth G. Leis	DECINAL MEDILACION	
Divorce Counsel for said Milwaukee County, as appears by the admission of such service endorsed upon the original summons and complaint on file herein;  And it further appearing that twenty days have elapsed since the date of the service of the summons and complaint upon said defendant and that said defendant has failed to answer or demur to said complaint, and that said defendant has in no manner appeared herein, and is now in default, as more fully appears by the affidavit of Elizabeth G. Leis	es torrespondente de la completación de la completa	
And it further appearing that twenty days have elapsed since the date of the service of the summons and complaint upon said defendant and that said defendant has failed to answer or demur to said complaint, and that said defendant has in no manner appeared herein, and is now in default, as more fully appears by the affidavit of Elizabeth C. Leis		
And it further appearing that twenty days have elapsed since the date of the service of the summons and complaint upon said defendant and that said defendant has failed to answer or demur to said complaint, and that said defendant has in no manner appeared herein, and is now in default, as more fully appears by the affidavit of Elizabeth C. Leis		dorsed
mons and complaint upon said defendant and that said defendant has failed to answer or demur to said complaint, and that said defendant has in no manner appeared herein, and is now in default, as more fully appears by the affidavit of Elizabeth C. Leis	upon the original summons and complaint on the nevent,	
said complaint, and that said defendant has in no manner appeared herein, and is now in default, as more fully appears by the affidavit ofElizabeth C. Leis	And it further appearing that twenty days have elapsed since the date of the service of the	ie sum-
as more fully appears by the affidavit of Elizabeth C. Leis Esq., the attorney for the plaintiff, on file herein;  And the plaintiff appearing in person and by Elizabeth C. Leis attorney, and the State of Wisconsin appearing by Joseph M. Syman Esq., Assistant Divorce Counsel for said Milwaukee County, and the defendant failing to appear either in person or by attorney, and after hearing the testimony and proofs submitted, and the said Joseph M. Syman Esq., Assistant Divorce Counsel, having appeared in open court on behalf of the public, made a fair and impartial presentation to the court and fully advised the court as to the merits of the case and the rights and interests of the parties and of the public, and being fully advised in the premises; and the judge having informed the parties herste appearing in court that the judgment to be entered herein so far as it affects the status of the parties, will not become effective until one year from the date of the granting thereof, I August E. Braun the status of law, as follows, to-wit:  First. That for more than two years next preceding the commencement of this action the states.	mons and complaint upon said defendant and that said defendant has failed to answer or de	mur to
And the plaintiff appearing in person and by Elizabeth C. Leis attorney, and the State of Wisconsin appearing by Joseph M. Syman , Esq., Assistant Divorce Counsel for said Milwaukee County, and the defendant failing to appear either in person or by attorney, and after hearing the testimony and proofs submitted, and the said Joseph M. Syman , Esq., Assistant Divorce Counsel, having appeared in open court on behalf of the public, made a fair and impartial presentation to the court and fully advised the court as to the merits of the case and the rights and interests of the parties and of the public, and being fully advised in the premises; and the judge having informed the parties hereto appearing in court that the judgment to be entered herein so far as it affects the status of the parties, will not become effective until one year from the date of the granting thereof, I. August E. Braun , the judge before whom this action was tried, do make these findings of fact and conclusions of law, as follows, to-wit:	said complaint, and that said defendant has in no manner appeared herein, and is now in o	lefault,
And the plaintiff appearing in person and by Elizabeth C. Leis attorney, and the State of Wisconsin appearing by Joseph M. Syman , Esq., Assistant Divorce Counsel for said Milwaukee County, and the defendant failing to appear either in person or by attorney, and after hearing the testimony and proofs submitted, and the said Joseph M. Syman , Esq., Assistant Divorce Counsel, having appeared in open court on behalf of the public, made a fair and impartial presentation to the court and fully advised the court as to the merits of the case and the rights and interests of the parties and of the public, and being fully advised in the premises; and the judge having informed the parties hereto appearing in court that the judgment to be entered herein so far as it affects the status of the parties, will not become effective until one year from the date of the granting thereof, I. August E. Braun , the judge before whom this action was tried, do make these findings of fact and conclusions of law, as follows, to-wit:	as more fully appears by the affidavit of Elizabeth C. Leis Es	q., the
the State of Wisconsin appearing by	attorney for the plaintiff, on file herein;	
the State of Wisconsin appearing by	A lu lium i i Fligoboth C Toig	e 1994
tant Divorce Counsel for said Milwaukee County, and the defendant failing to appear either in person or by attorney, and after hearing the testimony and proofs submitted, and the said Joseph M. Syman		
person or by attorney, and after hearing the testimony and proofs submitted, and the said  Joseph M. Syman, Esq., Assistant Divorce Counsel, having appeared in open court on behalf of the public, made a fair and impartial presentation to the court and fully advised the court as to the merits of the case and the rights and interests of the parties and of the public, and being fully advised in the premises; and the judge having informed the parties hereto appearing in court that the judgment to be entered herein so far as it affects the status of the parties, will not become effective until one year from the date of the granting thereof, I		
Joseph M. Syman , Esq., Assistant Divorce Counsel, having appeared in open court on behalf of the public, made a fair and impartial presentation to the court and fully advised the court as to the merits of the case and the rights and interests of the parties and of the public, and being fully advised in the premises; and the judge having informed the parties hereto appearing in court that the judgment to be entered herein so far as it affects the status of the parties, will not become effective until one year from the date of the granting thereof, I. August E. Braun , the judge before whom this action was tried, do make these findings of fact and conclusions of law, as follows, to-wit:  FINDINGS OF FACTS  First. That for more than two years next preceding the commencement of this action the		
in open court on behalf of the public, made a fair and impartial presentation to the court and fully advised the court as to the merits of the case and the rights and interests of the parties and of the public, and being fully advised in the premises; and the judge having informed the parties hereto-appearing in court that the judgment to be entered herein so far as it affects the status of the parties, will not become effective until one year from the date of the granting thereof, I		
fully advised the court as to the merits of the case and the rights and interests of the parties and of the public, and being fully advised in the premises; and the judge having informed the parties herete appearing in court that the judgment to be entered herein so far as it affects the status of the parties, will not become effective until one year from the date of the granting thereof, I	The state of the s	1,
and of the public, and being fully advised in the premises; and the judge having informed the parties herete appearing in court that the judgment to be entered herein so far as it affects the status of the parties, will not become effective until one year from the date of the granting thereof, I.————————————————————————————————————	in open court on behalf of the public, made a fair and impartial presentation to the cou	irt and
parties hereto appearing in court that the judgment to be entered herein so far as it affects the status of the parties, will not become effective until one year from the date of the granting thereof, I	Restrict from the lite in restriction and the house I define who account	
the status of the parties, will not become effective until one year from the date of the granting thereof, I	ethnish many that is \$9000000.	
thereof, I. August E. Braun , the judge before whom this action was tried, do make these findings of fact and conclusions of law, as follows, to-wit:  FINDINGS OF FACTS  First. That for more than two years next preceding the commencement of this action the commencement of the commencement of this action the commencement of the c	parties herete appearing in court that the judgment to be entered herein so far as it	affects
whom this action was tried, do make these findings of fact and conclusions of law, as follows, to-wit:  FINDINGS OF FACTS  First. That for more than two years next preceding the commencement of this action the	Correct Agency Dresidence	
First. That for more than two years next preceding the commencement of this action the	thereof, I. August E. Braun , the judge	before
First. That for more than two years next preceding the commencement of this action the	whom this action was tried, do make these findings of fact and conclusions of law, as	follows,
First. That for more than two years next preceding the commencement of this action the	to-wit:	18 135
First. That for more than two years next preceding the commencement of this action the	the State of Definition 1995	enq.
First. That for more than two years next preceding the commencement of this action the	Goort Bouse in the Gloy of Milwansee, in said Octo	
First. That for more than two years next preceding the commencement of this action the	At a regular term of soid court began and held	
First. That for more than two years next preceding the commencement of this action the	EINIDINICS OF FACTS	NOTES OF
APPENDED TO THE PERSON OF THE	FINDINGS OF FACIS	
DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF	Conclusions of Fact and DEC 2.8 19	20 O
has been a continuous and bona fide resident of the State of	DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF	
		tate of
Wisconsin.		
Second. That on the 19th day of April 19 50, at the City	Second. That on the 19th day of April 19 50, at t	he City
of Dubuque in the State of Iowa , the plaintiff and defendant/		har har a
intermarried and since that time the westeen and show are thus band and twifes		
Third: That said purported marriage ceremony was performed by one B. C.		G.

Ziemer, a person not authorized to perform marriages in the state of Iowa; that prior to said common, neither of the parties had secured an license to marry nor had submitted to any blood tests, as required by the code of the State of Iowa; that at the time of said purported marriage, both parties were residents of the

to the state of Wisconsin, where, at Milwaukee, Wisconsin, they thereafter lived as husband and wife until their separation in August, 1948.

plaintiff, Elva Tuti	ner.		0.5 50,2		
	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	100000	To the	gi <sup>go</sup>	
		·····	<u> 23 - 4</u>		
	1169 12	- Establish	C2 T1	4	
			0 /		
	<u>,                             </u>				
·					·- <b>-</b>
/*					
. Assister					
	20 20 20				
	, 723				
	NE CE VIII				
gentod pravatences al the	openi this - day				
	Mistoriano (1. septia				
		-			
	/				

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

a nnuling the purported marriage of First. That the plaintiff is entitled to judgment wholly dissolving the bonds of matrimony heretofore subsisting between the plaintiff and the defendant, and forever freeing the plaintiff and defendant from the obligations thereof; providing, however, that said judgment so far as it affects the status of the parties shall not be effective, except for the purpose of an appeal to review the same, until the expiration of one year from the date of the granting of said judgment or decree.

the parties, entered into at Dubuque, Iowa on April 19th, 1948

Second. That neither of the parties to this action shall marry again until one year after the granting of said judgment or decree and the marriage of either of the parties to this action solemnized before the expiration of one year from the date of the granting of said judgment or decree kerein shall be null and void. Second® That the care and custody of said child, Linda Turner, is awarded to the plaintiff. Let judgment be entered accordingly. Dated, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, this 27 day of By the Court, Findings approved this... day of Assistant Divorce Counsel.

THE STATE OF WISCONSIN

Milwaukee County.

ELVA TURNER

ROBERT H. TURNER

KODERT U. TOTAL

FINDINGS OF FACT A

XIXIOREE SERVICE BY PUBLICATION ANNUIMENT

FILED O DEC 28 1950 O Star State

243-31, A 2M 4-49

filed 11-30-50 nov. (# 1950 (trial date)

STATE OF WISCONSIN : CIRCUIT COURT : MILWAUKEE COUNTY

ELVA TURNER.

Plaintiff,

CASE NO. 227-195

-VS-

MEMORENDUM TO THE COURT

ROBERT H. TURNER,

Defendant

#### STATEMENT OF FACTS

The plaintiff and defendant, residents of Milwaukee,
Wisconsin, on April 19, 1948, went to Dubuque, Iowa, where a marriage
ceremony took place. The marriage was performed by a person not authorized to perform marriages; no marriage license had been secured by
either party, nor blood tests taken. The person officiating at the
ceremony did not issue a certificate of marriage in the form prescribed
by Iowa statute. A memorandum, thereof, however, was given to the plaintiff,
signed by the two witnesses to the ceremony. The parties immediately returned to Milwaukee, where they lived together as man and wife until the
separation of the parties in August, 1948. A child, Linda, whose birth is
registered as Linda Lindemann (plaintiff's maiden name) was born on January
8. 1949. The birth was registered as illegitimate.

### STATUTES APPLICABLE

#### IOWA CODE:

595.3. License. Previous to the solemnezation of any marriage, a license for that purpose must be obtained from the clerk of the district court of the county where the marriage is to be solemnized.

595.9. If the clerk issue a license in violation of the provisions of Sec 595.8... If a marriage is solemnized without its (a license) being procured, the clerk so issuing the same, and the parties marriage and all persons aiding them, are guilty of a misdemeanor.

595.10 Marriages must be solemnized by: 1) A justice of the peace, or the mayor of the city or town where the marriage takes place; 2) Some judge of the supreme, district, superior or municipal court of the state; 3) Some minister of the gospel, ordained or licensed according to the usage of the denomination.

595.11 Non-statutory solemnezation - forfeiture. Marriages solemnized with the consect of the paries, in any other manner than as herein prescribed, are valid, but the parties thereto and all other parties aiding and abetting them, shall forfeit to the school fund the sum of \$50.00 each, but this shall not apply to the person conducting the marriage ceremony, if within 15 days thereafter he makes the required return to the clerk of the district court.

It is respectfully submitted that pursuant to the statutes above set forth and hereto applicable, the marriage of the parties was valid and that the Court making findings herein that said marriage is valid and that the Complaint for annulment be dismissed.

ELIZABETH C. LEIS
PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY

This is to certify I have onited in marriage Elva A. Lindemonn and Robert J. Turner this 19th day of April, 1948.

B. C. Oiemin Justice of Peace Dubuque Co., Ioua.

(916 Elm St.) Dubuque

Circuit Court 7, Milwaukee County

Plaintiff's Defendant's

NOV 6 1950

Official Reporter

STATE OF WISCONSIN : CIRCUIT COURT : MILWAUKEE COUNTY

ELVA TURNER,

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, O MAY 25 1950 O

ROBERT J. TURNER, Defendant Defendant

Now comes the plaintiff above named by her attorney ELIZABETH C. LEIS nand as and for a complaint against the defendant, alleges as follows:

- 1. That the plaintiff resides at 2847 South 84th Street, in the city of West Allis, Milwaukee County, state of Wisconsin.
- 2. That the present whereabouts of the defendant are unknown; that the last known post office address of the defendant was 2422 West Keefe avenue, Milwaukee, Wisconsin.
- 3. That on the 19th day of April, 1948, the plaintiff and the defendant entered into a purported marriage ceremony at the city of Dubuque, state of Iowa.
- 4. That one child was born as the issue of said purported marriage, Linda Lindemann, born at Milwaukee, Wisconsin on January 8, 1949.
- 5. That no previous action for divorce or annulment has been commenced by either of the parties hereto.
  - 6. That the parties own no real or personal property.

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

7. That the purported marriage of the parties at Dubuque, Iowa on April 19th, 1948 was null and void for the reason that the parties had not obtained any license for the performance of said marriage, contrary to the laws of the State of Iowa and that in further violation of said laws, said ceremony was performed by a person who was not duly authorized to solemnize or perform marriage ceremonies.

### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

8. That, as plaintiff is informed and believes, defendant was, at the time of said purported marriage, a married man; that his wife, whose name plaintiff believes to be Erma Turner, had never secured a divorce from the defendant and was, on the 19th day of April, 1948, the legal spouse of the defendant.

WHEREFORE plaintiff demands judgment that the purported marriage of the parties entered into at Dubuque, Iowa on April 19th, 1948 he annulled and held void, that the child born as the issue of said purported marriage be declared to be legitimate and for such other and further relief as the Court in its discretion deem just and equitable.

ELIZABETH C. LEIS

PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY

STATE OF WISCONSIN ) MILWAUKEE COUNTY

ELVA TURNER, being first duly sworn, on oath deposes and says that she is the plaintiff in the above entiled action; that she has read the foregoing complaint and knows the contents thereof; that the same are true to her own knowledge, except as to those matters therein stated to be on information and belief, and as to those matters she believes it to be true.

Elva Turner

Subscribed and sworn to be-

fore me this /b day of May, 1950.

Motary Public, Milwaukee Co, M-Wis. My comm. exp. 9-17-50

O MAY 25 1950 O

Fred John Jones