

STATE OF WISCONSIN—CIRCUIT COURT—  
 MILWAUKEE COUNTY. Clara Pavlat,  
 Plaintiff, vs. Henry Pavlat, Defendant,  
 THE STATE OF WISCONSIN, To the said  
 Defendant:  
 You are hereby summoned to appear within  
 twenty days after service of this summons, ex-  
 clusive of the day of service and defend the  
 above entitled action in the court aforesaid;  
 and in case of your failure so to do judgment  
 will be rendered against you according to the  
 demands of the complaint, of which a copy is  
 herewith served upon you.  
 HUGO J. TROST,  
 Plaintiff's Attorney.  
 P. O. Address: 1802 First Nat'l Bank Bldg.,  
 Milwaukee, Milwaukee County, Wisconsin.  
 The original summons and complaint in the  
 above entitled action are on file in the office  
 of the Clerk of the above named Court.  
 HUGO J. TROST,  
 Plaintiff's Attorney.

*Arthur J. Gleissner* being duly sworn, <sup>Map to Binner</sup> CLERK, says that he is

the foreman of the printers of the "Columbia", which is a public newspaper of  
 general circulation, printed and published in the city of Milwaukee, in said  
 county; that a notice, of which the printed one hereto attached is a true copy,  
 was published in said newspaper once in each week for <sup>six</sup> .....  
 successive weeks, commencing on the <sup>13<sup>th</sup></sup> day of <sup>March</sup> A. D. 1919.  
 That the said "Columbia" is a newspaper printed in the German language,  
 that said notice was printed in the English language and that said printed copy  
 was taken from said newspaper.

*Arthur J. Gleissner*

Subscribed and sworn to before me this <sup>22<sup>nd</sup></sup>.....

day of <sup>April</sup>..... A. D. 1919.

*Joseph Hoffmann*  
 Notary Public, Milwaukee County, Wis.  
 My commission expires Nov. 22, 1921

At a regular term of said  
 Court begun and held at the  
 Court House in the City of  
 Milwaukee, in said County of  
 Milwaukee, on the 1st day of  
 April, 1919, and on the  
 day of May, 1919, in said term,  
 Present the Honorable

*Lawrence Halsey*  
 Judge Presiding.

The above entitled action having been duly placed  
 upon the April, 1919 Term Calendar of said Court, and the  
 same having come on in its regular order to be heard before  
 the Court and it appearing that this is an action for  
 divorce and that the summons and complaint in this action  
 could not be personally served on the defendant for the  
 reason that said defendant is not a resident of the State  
 of Wisconsin, as more fully appears by the return of the  
 Sheriff for said Milwaukee County endorsed upon the original  
 summons on file herein;

And it further appearing that said original summons  
 and duly verified complaint herein were filed in the office  
 of the Clerk of said Court on the 7th day of March, 1919,  
 and that on the 4th day of March, 1919, plaintiff made and

STATE OF WISCONSIN  
CIRCUIT COURT ( )  
MILWAUKEE COUNTY ( ) SS.

FILED  
MAY 28 1919

*Max E. Rimmer*  
CLERK OF CIRCUIT COURT  
No. 54346.

-----X  
Clara Pavlat,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

Henry Pavlat,

Defendant.  
-----X

FINDINGS OF FACT  
AND  
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

At a regular term of said Court begun and held at the Court House in the City of Milwaukee, in said County of Milwaukee, on the 1st day of April, 1919, and on the day of May, 1919, in said term, Present the Honorable

*Lawrence H. Halsey*  
Judge Presiding.

The above entitled action having been duly placed upon the April, 1919 Term Calendar of said Court, and the same having come on in its regular order to be heard before the Court and it appearing that this is an action for divorce and that the summons and complaint in this action could not be personally served on the defendant for the reason that said defendant is not a resident of the State of Wisconsin, as more fully appears by the return of the Sheriff for said Milwaukee County endorsed upon the original summons on file herein;

And it further appearing that said original summons and duly verified complaint herein were filed in the office of the Clerk of said Court on the 7th day of March, 1919, and that on the 4th day of March, 1919, plaintiff made and

and filed an affidavit stating among other things that personal service could not be obtained on the defendant within the State of Wisconsin although due diligence has been exercised so to do, and made application that service be made by publication and said affidavit further stated that the plaintiff was unable after due diligence to ascertain either the post-office address or the residence of said defendant and that to-wit:- on the 6th day of March, 1919, and within ten days after the date of the affidavit of the plaintiff above mentioned the Honorable John J. Gregory, one of the judges of said court made and entered an order in said action that service of the summons in said action be made by publication in The Columbia, a newspaper designated as most likely to give notice to the defendant, once a week for six weeks and provided further that the plaintiff may omit to deposit a copy of the summons and complaint in said action in a specified post office securely enclosed in an envelope with the postage duly prepaid and provided further that at plaintiff's option a copy of the summons and a copy of the complaint be delivered to the defendant personally without the State; that said application and affidavit for said order of publication were filed within ten days after date of said order.

And it further appearing that the summons was duly publication in The Columbia, a newspaper, as required by said order once a week for six weeks and that the day of the first publication was the 13th day of March, 1919, and within three months from the date of said order as more fully appears by the affidavit of the Columbia on

file herein;

And it further appearing that on the 6th day of March, 1919, and within ten days after service on the defendant a copy of said summons and of said complaint were duly served on the Honorable Winfred C. Zabel, the District Attorney and divorce counsel for said Milwaukee County as appears by the admission of such service endorsed upon the original summons and complaint on file herein.

And it further appearing that twenty days have elapsed since the date of the service of the summons and complaint upon said defendant and that said defendant has failed to answer or demur to said complaint and that said defendant has in no manner appeared herein and is now in default as more fully appears by the affidavit of Hugo J. Trost, Esq., her attorney, and the State of Wisconsin appearing by Walter G. Wallschlaeger, Assistant District Attorney, for said Milwaukee County who is divorce counsel in and for said Milwaukee County and the defendant failing to appear either in person or by attorney, and after hearing the testimony and the proofs submitted and said Walter G. Wallschlaeger, divorce counsel having appeared in open court and on behalf of the public made a fair and impartial presentation to the court and fully advised the court as to the merits of the case and the rights and interests of the parties and of the public and being fully advised in the premises and the Judge having informed the parties hereto appearing in Court that the judgment to be entered herein so far as it affects the status of the parties will not become effective until one year after the date of the entry

thereof, I, Lawrence H. Haley, the Judge before whom this action was tried do make these findings of fact and conclusions of law as follows, to-wit:-

FINDINGS OF FACT.

1. That on or about the 17th day of October, A. D. 1905, at the City of Milwaukee, State of Wisconsin, plaintiff and defendant duly intermarried and ever since have been and now are wife and husband.
2. That the plaintiff has resided in the State of Wisconsin for more than two years immediately preceding the time of the commencement of this action and that she now resides in said State of Wisconsin.
3. That there are three children as the issue of said marriage, namely:- Elenor, born June 28th, 1906; George born March 28th, 1908, and Mildred, born February 11th, 1910.
4. That the plaintiff has no means nor property.
5. That the plaintiff is 32 years of age and the defendant 33 years of age.
6. That no previous action for divorce has ever been commenced by either party to this action in any other Court or before any Judge thereof in this State or elsewhere.
7. That the defendant, though of sufficient ability has wholly refused and neglected to provide for the plaintiff.
8. That on or about the month of May, A. D. 1913, the above named defendant in disregard of his marriage vows and duty to the plaintiff and without

cause or excuse wilfully deserted and abandoned the plaintiff and ever since said time remained away from her and that said desertion and abandonment have continued and existed uninterruptedly for more than one year immediately preceding the time of the commencement of this action.

AND I find as

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

1. That the plaintiff is entitled to a judgment wholly dissolving the bonds of matrimony heretofore subsisting between the plaintiff and defendant and forever freeing the plaintiff and defendant from the obligations thereof, provided, however, that such judgment so far as it determines the status of the parties shall not be effective except for the purpose of an appeal to review the same until the expiration of one year from the date of the entry of the same.

2. That neither of the parties to this action shall marry again until one year after the entry of said judgment and the marriage of either of the parties to this action solemnized before the expiration of one year from the date of entry of judgment herein shall be null and void. *1/2 That the defendant shall pay the cost of this action and the plaintiff's attorney's fees.*

3. That the plaintiff shall have the care, custody and education of the minor children of the parties hereto. *and that the defendant shall pay the plaintiff the sum of \$100.00 per month for the support of the children and the sum of \$100.00 per month as such alimony.*  
Let judgment be entered according.

Dated Milwaukee, Wis., this 28 day of May, 1919.

By the Court,

Lawrence W. Talley  
Judge.

Findings approved this 28 day of May, 1919.

Walter J. M. Schaefer  
Assistant District Attorney.